### Math 321: More with functions: equinumerosity

#### Kameryn J Williams

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Fall 2020

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Equinumerosity

臣 Fall 2020 1 / 12

(日) (同) (三) (三)

DQC

Recall that a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a bijection onto B if f is both one-to-one and onto B. That is, f satisfies the following property:

• For all  $b \in B$  there is a unique  $a \in A$  so that f(a) = b.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Recall that a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a bijection onto B if f is both one-to-one and onto B. That is, f satisfies the following property:

• For all  $b \in B$  there is a unique  $a \in A$  so that f(a) = b.

Today we're going to discuss a use of bijections to talk about sets.

イロト イポト イヨト トヨト 三日

### Comparing discrete collections

Suppose you have two discrete collections A and B of objects. How do you determine whether your two collections have the same size?

Image: A math a math

### Definition

Two sets A and B are equinumerous, let's denote this as  $A \sim B$ , if there is a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

This definition is meant to capture the idea that A and B have the same number of elements.

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶ •

 $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on sets.

• □ ▶ • • □ ▶ • • □ ▶ •

 $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on sets.

#### Proof.

We need to check three things. ( $\sim$  is reflexive)

( $\sim$  is symmetric)

( $\sim$  is transitive)

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Image: Image:

DQC

 $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on sets.

#### Proof.

We need to check three things. ( $\sim$  is reflexive) For any set A, the identity function  $id_A : A \rightarrow A$  is a bijection from A onto A. ( $\sim$  is symmetric)

 $(\sim \text{ is transitive})$ 

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

A = A = A

 $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on sets.

#### Proof.

We need to check three things. (~ is reflexive) For any set A, the identity function  $id_A : A \to A$  is a bijection from A onto A. (~ is symmetric) If  $f : A \to B$  is a bijection from A onto B then  $f^{-1} : B \to A$  is a bijection from B onto A. (~ is transitive)

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

 $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on sets.

#### Proof.

We need to check three things. (~ is reflexive) For any set A, the identity function  $id_A : A \to A$  is a bijection from A onto A. (~ is symmetric) If  $f : A \to B$  is a bijection from A onto B then  $f^{-1} : B \to A$  is a bijection from B onto A. (~ is transitive) Suppose  $f : A \to B$  and  $g : B \to C$  are bijections. Then  $g \circ f : A \to C$  is a bijection.

A reasonable question one might have at this point:

• Why are we doing all this work? This seems a lot more complicated than just counting things, so what's the point?

A reasonable question one might have at this point:

• Why are we doing all this work? This seems a lot more complicated than just counting things, so what's the point?

The answer is that these ideas let us get a handle on infinite sets. With finite sets, things are more straightforward, but it's not so clear what to do with infinite sets like  $\mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . This extra abstraction lets us talk about a more general context.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

### Finite and infinite sets

### Definition

A set A is finite if there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$A \sim \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k < n\} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

If A is not finite then we call it infinite.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Informally, the cardinality of a set is the number of elements in the set. Formally, we define this using equivalence classes.

### Definition

Given a set *A*, the cardinality of *A*, denoted |A|, is the equivalence class  $[A]_{\sim}$  with respect to the equinumerosity relation. So given sets *A* and *B*, we have |A| = |B| iff  $A \sim B$ . Informally, the cardinality of a set is the number of elements in the set. Formally, we define this using equivalence classes.

### Definition

Given a set *A*, the cardinality of *A*, denoted |A|, is the equivalence class  $[A]_{\sim}$  with respect to the equinumerosity relation. So given sets *A* and *B*, we have |A| = |B| iff  $A \sim B$ .

For finite sets, we use the familiar names for natural numbers for their cardinalities. That is, we will simply write |A| = n to mean  $A \sim \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k < n\}$ .

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

### Some infinite sets with cardinality $|\mathbb{N}|$

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

- 2 Fall 2020 9 / 12

# Do all infinite sets have the same cardinality?

<ロト <四ト < 回ト < 回

# Do all infinite sets have the same cardinality?

To be continued in the next lecture...

K Williams (U. Hawai'i @ Mānoa)

Math 321: Equinumerosity

◆ ■ シ ■ シ へ ペ
Fall 2020 10 / 12

## Comparing cardinalities

We know what it means to say |A| = |B|.

• This means  $A \sim B$ , which means there is a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

### Comparing cardinalities

We know what it means to say |A| = |B|.

• This means  $A \sim B$ , which means there is a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Can we define what it means to say  $|A| \leq |B|$ ?

### Comparing cardinalities

We know what it means to say |A| = |B|.

• This means  $A \sim B$ , which means there is a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .

Can we define what it means to say  $|A| \leq |B|$ ?

- Say  $|A| \leq |B|$  if there is a one-to-one function  $f : A \rightarrow B$ .
- Say |A| < |B| if  $|A| \le |B|$  and  $|A| \ne |B|$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

## The pigeonhole principle

### Fact (The pigeonhole principle)

If |A| < |B| then no function  $f : B \rightarrow A$  can be one-to-one.

If you have more pigeons than holes, then at least one pigeonhole must contain multiple pigeons.